

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

μ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,846	08/26/2003	Nagui Mankaruse	Mankaruse 2003	3710
7590 10/26/2004		EXAMINER		
NAGUI MANKRUSE			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
P.O. BOX 1215			ſ <del></del>	<del></del> -
SUNSET BEACH, CA 90742			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
10/647,846 MANKARUSE ET AL.		MANKARUSE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•
<u> </u>	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
• •	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE 4 MOR	NTH(C) FDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2004.		•
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	• -
Disposition of Claims			•
4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-12 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2004 is/a		bjected to by the Examiner.	. *
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			:
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C - & 1	19(a) (d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.0. § 1	19(a)-(u) or (1).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		lication No.	٠.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	imary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,846

Art Unit: 2835

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Specification

1. The amendment filed 09/29/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: newly submitted drawings, changes in the specification regarding newly submitted drawings and the subject matter introduced into the claims regarding heat pipes, which has not been disclosed in the original disclosure. Reference to the patent in the claims cannot constitute incorporation by reference since it is not clear which part of the patent can be applied to the instant application and because the claim which refers to the patent has been canceled in the amendment.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The heat pipes introduced into the claims

Application/Control Number: 10/647,846 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

represent new subject matter, the cold plates that include heat pipes are not disclosed in the originally filed application and, as stated above, the reference to the patent in the canceled claim cannot be considered as incorporated by reference since it is not clear if it is incorporated in its entirety or just a specific part of it.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al. in view of Kerner

Hara discloses a cooling assembly comprising a cold plate assembly 1 including at least one heat pipe 3, 4 and at least one heat exchanger 2 engaging and thermally connected to the at least one heat pipe and adapted for internally circulating a thermally conductive fluid therethrough, heat generating electronic components in thermal conductivity with the at least one heat pipe; a cooling system including a circuit 5, 6 having at least one heat exchanger 2 and the heat exchanger engaging and thermally connected to the at least one heat pipe. Hara discloses the claimed invention except the circulating pump for the liquid to air heat exchanger. Kerner discloses the cooling system including the pump for liquid to air heat exchanger. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include

Application/Control Number: 10/647,846

Art Unit: 2835

pump for the liquid to air heat exchanger as disclosed by Kerner for the device disclosed by Hara et al. for optimum heat removal.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,846

Art Unit: 2835

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER Kjon's h. Clum 10/21/4